arXiv:1407.1948v3 [math.SG] 6 Apr 2015

# HAMILTONIAN CIRCLE ACTIONS WITH ISOLATED FIXED POINTS

HUI LI

Abstract. Let the circle act in a Hamiltonian fashion on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $M$ with $n+1$ isolated fixed points. Let $M'$ be $\mathbb{CP}^n$ or $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with $n \geq 3$ odd in the latter. We show that the following 4 conditions are equivalent: (1) $M$ has the same first Chern class as $M'$, (2) $M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $M'$, (3) $M$ has the same total Chern class as $M'$, and (4) the $S^1$ representations at the fixed points are the same as those of a standard circle action on $M'$. If additionally $M$ is Kähler and the action is holomorphic, under any of the above 4 equivalent conditions, we show that $M$ is $S^1$-equivariantly biholomorphic and symplectomorphic to $M'$ equipped with a standard circle action.

## 1. Introduction

Let the circle act symplectically on a compact connected $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$. It is known that if the fixed point set is nonempty, then such an action has at least 2 fixed points, and has at least 3 fixed points if $\dim(M) \geq 8$ [11]. A necessary condition for the action to be Hamiltonian is that there are at least $n+1$ fixed points. This can be seen by using that the moment map is a perfect Morse-Bott function and that the even Betti numbers of $M$ satisfy $b_{2i}(M) \geq 1$ for all $0 \leq 2i \leq 2n$. There are many interesting studies of symplectic circle actions on compact symplectic manifolds, one of the questions is when such an action is Hamiltonian. In [9], McDuff showed that in dimension 4, the action is Hamiltonian if there is a fixed point, she also constructed a symplectic but not Hamiltonian circle action in dimension 6 with non-isolated fixed point set, and posed the interesting question whether a symplectic circle action on a compact symplectic manifold with isolated fixed points is Hamiltonian. For the case when the circle acts semifreely (i.e., the action is free outside fixed points) with isolated fixed points, Tolman and Weitsman [14] showed that the action has exactly $2^n$ fixed points and the action is Hamiltonian. An example of such a Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold is the product of $n$ copies of $S^2$, equipped with the standard rotation by $S^1$ on each copy of $S^2$. Another case (in some sense the opposite case) is when the symplectic circle action has exactly $n+1$

*Key words and phrases.* Symplectic manifold, Hamiltonian circle action, equivariant cohomology, Chern classes, Kähler manifold, biholomorphism, symplectomorphism.

2010 classification. 53D05, 53D20, 55N25, 57R20, 32H02, 14J70.

isolated fixed points. For this case, according to Hattori's work [3, Lemmas 5.10 and 6.4], if $c_1(M) = (n+1)x$ or $c_1(M) = nx$, where $x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, then the action is Hamiltonian. Two standard examples of such Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifolds are $\mathbb{CP}^n$, and $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with $n \geq 3$ odd, equipped with standard circle actions, where the latter is the Grassmannian of oriented 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, also called the complex quadratic hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$. See Examples 1.4 and 1.5. Note that in these examples the actions are very "non-semifree".

Motivated by the second case above, we now assume that $(M,\omega)$ is a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold of dimension $2n$ with exactly $n+1$ isolated fixed points. Then using that the moment map is a perfect Morse function, we see that the even Betti numbers of $M$ are minimal, i.e., $b_{2i}(M) = 1$ for all $0 \leq 2i \leq 2n$. In this paper, we study exactly the cases when $c_1(M) = (n+1)x$ or $c_1(M) = nx$, where $x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator. We show that in these cases, the first Chern class of $M$, the total Chern class of $M$, the integral cohomology ring of $M$, and the circle action on $M$ mutually determine each other; moreover, if $M$ is Kähler and the action is holomorphic, we show that $\mathbb{CP}^n$, and $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with $n \geq 3$ odd, equipped with the standard circle actions are the only examples in the complex and symplectic categories. These results are respectively stated in the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let the circle act in a Hamiltonian fashion on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with $n+1$ isolated fixed points. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$M$ has the same first Chern class as $\mathbb{CP}^n$, i.e., $c_1(M) = (n+1)x$, where $x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator.*
2. *$M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^n$.*
3. *$M$ has the same total Chern class as $\mathbb{CP}^n$.*
4. *The $S^1$ representations at the fixed points are the same as those of a standard circle action on $\mathbb{CP}^n$.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let the circle act in a Hamiltonian fashion on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with $n+1$ isolated fixed points, where $n \geq 3$ is odd. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$M$ has the same first Chern class as $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$, i.e., $c_1(M) = nx$, where $x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator.*
2. *$M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$.*
3. *$M$ has the same total Chern class as $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$.*
4. *The $S^1$ representations at the fixed points are the same as those of a standard circle action on $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$.*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let the circle act holomorphically and in a Hamiltonian fashion on a compact complex $n$-dimensional Kähler manifold $M$ with $n+1$*

*isolated fixed points. When one of* (1), (2) *and* (4) *in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied, $M$ is $S^1$-equivariantly biholomorphic and is $S^1$-equivariantly symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^n$. When $n \geq 3$ is odd, and one of* (1), (2) *and* (4) *in Theorem 1.2 is satisfied, $M$ is $S^1$-equivariantly biholomorphic and is $S^1$-equivariantly symplectomorphic to $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$.*

In [2], Godinho and Sabatini proved Theorem 1.1 for the particular case when $\dim(M) = 8$. Our ideas of proof are very different.

In particular, the manifold $M$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1 is simply connected [5]. If $M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^n$, then $M$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Theorem 1.1 says that in this case the total Chern class of $M$ agrees with those of $\mathbb{CP}^n$. Recall the classical Petrie's conjecture: if a homotopy complex projective space admits a circle action, then its Pontryagin classes agree with those of a complex projective space [12]. Hence Theorem 1.1 says Petrie's conjecture holds in the particular case of a Hamiltonian circle action with a minimal number of isolated fixed points.

As we have mentioned, a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ of dimension $2n$ with $n+1$ isolated fixed points has minimal even Betti numbers, i.e., $b_{2i}(M) = 1$ for all $0 \leq 2i \leq 2n$. Recent research on compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifolds with minimal even Betti numbers include [13, 10, 8, 7, 2, 6]. These works mainly dealt with the cases when the manifold is not more than 8 dimensional, or the number of connected components of the fixed point set is not too large. For a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ with minimal even Betti numbers, in [8], we raised the following general questions: Is $b_{2i+1}(M) = 0$ for all $i$? Is $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ torsion free? Is the total Chern class of $M$ determined by its integral cohomology ring? Do the circle representations on the normal bundles of the fixed point components agree with those of some "standard" circle action? Our Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 gave affirmative answers to these questions for the cases when the fixed points are isolated and when $c_1(M) = (n+1)x$ or $c_1(M) = nx$, where $x \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a generator.

Now let us give the standard examples of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ and $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$.

**Example 1.4.** Consider $\mathbb{CP}^n$. It naturally arises as a coadjoint orbit of $SU(n+1)$, hence it has a Kähler structure and a Hamiltonian $SU(n+1)$ action. Consider the $S^1 \subset SU(n+1)$ action on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ given by

$$\lambda \cdot [z_0, z_1, \cdots, z_n] = [\lambda^{b_0} z_0, \lambda^{b_1} z_1, \cdots, \lambda^{b_n} z_n],$$

where the $b_i$'s are mutually distinct integers. This action has $n+1$ isolated fixed points, $P_i = [0, \cdots, 0, z_i, 0, \cdots, 0]$, where $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$, and the set of weights of the action at $P_i$ is

$$\{w_{ij}\} = \{b_j - b_i\}_{j \neq i}.$$

The moment map values of the fixed points are respectively $b_0, b_1, \cdots$, and $b_n$ (we may assume that we chose the order of the $b_i$'s such that $b_0 < b_1 < \cdots < b_n$).

**Example 1.5.** Consider $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$, with $n \geq 3$ odd. It naturally arises as a coadjoint orbit of $SO(n+2)$, hence it has a Kähler structure and a Hamiltonian $SO(n+2)$ action. Consider the $S^1 \subset SO(n+2)$ action on $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ induced by the $S^1$ action on $\mathbb{R}^{n+2} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ given by

$$\lambda \cdot \left(t, z_0, \cdots, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right) = \left(t, \lambda^{b_0} z_0, \cdots, \lambda^{b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}} z_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right),$$

where the $b_i$'s, with $i \in J = \{0, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$, are mutually distinct non-zero integers. This action has $n+1$ isolated fixed points $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$, and $P_n$, where for each $i \in J$, $P_i$ and $P_{n-i}$ are given by the plane $(0, \cdots, 0, z_i, 0, \cdots, 0)$ respectively with two different orientations. The weights of the action at $P_i$ and at $P_{n-i}$ are respectively

$$\{w_{ij}\} = \{b_j + b_i, -b_j + b_i\}_{J \ni j \neq i} \cup b_i, \text{ and}$$

$$\{w_{n-i,j}\} = \{b_j - b_i, -b_j - b_i\}_{J \ni j \neq i} \cup (-b_i).$$

The moment map values of the fixed points are respectively

$$-b_0, \cdots, -b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, b_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \cdots, b_0.$$

Finally we give an outline of proof of the theorems. For Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, clearly (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1); for (4) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) and (3), we can directly use Tolman's work; for (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (4), we will show that our Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifolds satisfy the conditions of Hattori's theorems, which allows us to use Hattori's work to conclude; our new ideas primarily devote to the proof of (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (4) and (1), for which, the gradient flow of the moment map and Morse theory play key roles. To prove Theorem 1.3, we use Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and a result we proved in [6], Proposition 7.1.

*Acknowledgement.* I would like to thank Martin Olbermann for helpful discussions on topology.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the basic notions we will use, for compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifolds and compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifolds with a minimal number of isolated fixed points, we state and prove important facts which we will use for the proof of our main theorems.

We start with introducing the basic notions. Let us first introduce equivariant cohomology. Let $M$ be a smooth $S^1$-manifold. The **equivariant cohomology** of $M$ in a coefficient ring $R$ is $H^*_{S^1}(M; R) = H^*(S^\infty \times_{S^1} M; R)$, where $S^1$ acts on $S^\infty$ freely. If $p$ is a point, then $H^*_{S^1}(p; R) = H^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; R) = R[t]$, where $t \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; R)$ is a generator. If $S^1$ acts on $M$ trivially, i.e., it

fixes $M$, then $H^*_{S^1}(M;R) = H^*(M;R)\otimes R[t] = H^*(M;R)[t]$. The projection map $\pi\colon S^\infty \times_{S^1} M \to \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ induces a pull back map

$$\pi^*\colon H^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty) \to H^*_{S^1}(M),$$

so $H^*_{S^1}(M)$ is a $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty)$-module.

Let $(M,\omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold. There exists an almost complex structure $J\colon TM \to TM$ which is **compatible** with $\omega$, i.e., $\omega(J(\cdot),\cdot)$ is a Riemannian metric. The set of compatible almost complex structures on $(M,\omega)$ is contractible, hence there is well defined total Chern class

$$c(M) = 1 + c_1(M) + \cdots + c_n(M) \in H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $c_i(M) \in H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is the $i$-th Chern class of $M$. Similarly, if $(M,\omega)$ is a compact symplectic $S^1$-manifold (the action preserves the symplectic form $\omega$), since the space of $S^1$-invariant compatible almost complex structures on $M$ is contractible, at each connected component $F$ of the fixed point set, there is a well defined set of nonzero integers, called the (nonzero) **weights** of the action; moreover, the normal bundle of $M$ at $F$ naturally splits into subbundles, one corresponding to each weight. Furthermore, if $(M,\omega)$ is a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$, the map $\phi$ is a perfect Morse-Bott function, and its critical set coincides with the fixed point set of the action. At each connected component $F$ of the fixed point set, the negative normal bundle of $F$ is the subbundle of the normal bundle of $F$ with negative weights; if $\lambda_F$ is the number of negative weights (counted with multiplicities) at $F$, then the **Morse index at** $F$ (the dimension of the negative normal bundle of $F$) is $\mathbf{2\lambda_F}$.

Let $(M,\omega)$ be a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic $S^1$-manifold. Assume the fixed points are isolated. Let $P$ be a fixed point, and let $\{w_1,\cdots,w_n\}$ be the set of weights at $P$. We denote the **equivariant total Chern class** of $M$ as

$$c^{S^1}(M) = 1 + c_1^{S^1}(M) + \cdots + c_n^{S^1}(M) \in H^*_{S^1}(M;\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $c_i^{S^1}(M) \in H^{2i}_{S^1}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is the $i$-th equivariant Chern class of $M$. The restriction of $c^{S^1}(M)$ to $P$ is

$$c^{S^1}(M)|_P = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^{S^1}(M)|_P = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(w_1,\cdots,w_n)t^i,$$

where $\sigma_i(w_1,\cdots,w_n)$ is the $i$-th symmetric polynomial in the weights.

Next, for a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold $M$, the following Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 will be very useful for the proof of our theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** [6, Lemma 2.3] *Let the circle act on a connected compact symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume $H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$c_1(M) = \frac{\Gamma_F - \Gamma_{F'}}{\phi(F') - \phi(F)}[\omega],$$

*where $F$ and $F'$ are any two fixed components such that $\phi(F') \neq \phi(F)$, and $\Gamma_F$ and $\Gamma_{F'}$ are respectively the sums of the weights at $F$ and $F'$.*

Note that if we take $F$ to be the minimum and $F'$ the maximum of $\phi$, we have that $\Gamma_F > 0$, $\Gamma_{F'} < 0$, and $\phi(F') - \phi(F) > 0$. So $c_1(M) = C[\omega]$ for some constant $C > 0$.

For a compact symplectic $S^1$-manifold $(M, \omega)$, when there exists a finite stabilizer group $\mathbb{Z}_k \subset S^1$, where $k > 1$, the set of points, $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k} \subsetneq M$, which is fixed by $\mathbb{Z}_k$ but not fixed by $S^1$, is a symplectic submanifold, called an **isotropy submanifold**. If an isotropy submanifold is a sphere, it is called an **isotropy sphere**.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let the circle act on a compact symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume $[\omega]$ is integral. Then for any two fixed components $F$ and $F'$, $\phi(F) - \phi(F') \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\mathbb{Z}_k$ fixes any point on $M$, then for any two fixed components $F$ and $F'$ on the same connected component of the isotropy submanifold $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$, we have $k \,|\, (\phi(F') - \phi(F))$.*

*Proof.* Since $M$ is compact, the action has at least two fixed components. Since $[\omega]$ is integral, there is an equivariant integral class $\widetilde{u}$ such that $\widetilde{u}|_{F'} = [\omega|_{F'}] + t\,(\phi(F_0) - \phi(F'))$, where $F_0$ and $F'$ are fixed components [8, Lemma 2.3]. So $\phi(F_0) - \phi(F') \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $F'$. Hence $\phi(F') - \phi(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any two fixed components $F$ and $F'$.

The isotropy submanifold $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ is compact and contains at least two fixed components. Consider the $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_k \approx S^1$ action on $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$, whose moment map is $\phi' = \phi/k$. Since $[\omega|_{M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}}]$ is integral, by the first paragraph, for any two fixed components $F$ and $F'$ on the same connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$, we have $\phi'(F') - \phi'(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\frac{\phi(F')}{k} - \frac{\phi(F)}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Finally, we consider the case of our concern — compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifolds with a minimal number of isolated fixed points. The facts in the following lemma will be important for our arguments in the next sections, most of these facts were mentioned in [13].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, \cdots, P_i, \cdots, P_n$. Then they respectively have Morse indices $0, \cdots, 2i, \cdots,$ and $2n$, and $\phi(P_0) < \phi(P_1) < \cdots < \phi(P_n)$. Moreover, $H^i(M; \mathbb{Z}) = H^i(\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ as groups for all $i$, and the negative disk bundle of the fixed point $P_i$ contributes to $H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$.*

*Proof.* The manifold $M$ is compact and symplectic implies that $\dim H^{2i}(M) \geq 1$ for all $0 \leq 2i \leq \dim(M)$. The moment map is a perfect Morse function, whose critical points $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots, P_n$ all have even indices. These indices must be respectively $0, 2, \cdots, 2n$ to make $\dim H^{2i}(M) = 1$ for all $0 \leq 2i \leq \dim(M)$. The fact $\phi(P_0) < \phi(P_1) < \cdots < \phi(P_n)$ follows from the following Lemma 2.4.

By Morse theory, $M$ has a CW-structure consisting of a unique cell in each even dimension — the negative disk bundle of $P_i$ for all $i$, and consisting of no odd dimensional cells. By cellular cohomology theory, the unique $2i$-cell contributes to $H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $H^{2i\pm 1}(M;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

**Lemma 2.4.** [13, Lemma 3.1] *Let the circle act on a compact symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Given any fixed component $F$, we have*

$$2\lambda_F \leq \sum_{\phi(F')<\phi(F)} \big(\dim(F')+2\big),$$

*where the sum is over all fixed components $F'$ such that $\phi(F') < \phi(F)$.*

## 3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the implications (4) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) and (3)

Tolman's work will direclty give us the implications (4) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) and (3) for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

First, let us cite the following result by Tolman on the generators of $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We will also use this in Sections 5 and 6.

**Proposition 3.1.** [13, Corollaries 3.14 and 3.19] *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$, and $P_n$. Then $H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by $\alpha_i$, $i = 0, \cdots, n$, where*

$$\alpha_i = \Lambda_i^- \frac{c_1(M)^i}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1}(\Gamma_i - \Gamma_j)} \in H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{Z}).$$

*Here, $\Lambda_i^-$ is the product of the negative weights at $P_i$, and $\Gamma_j$ is the sum of the weights at $P_j$.*

In [13, Corollary 3.19], Tolman expressed the generators $\alpha_i \in H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ with $i \geq 2$ in terms of the weights at the fixed points and $(\alpha_1)^i$, she also expressed the Chern classes of $M$ in terms of the weights at the fixed points and the $\alpha_i$'s. More precisely,

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\Lambda_i^-}{(\Lambda_1^-)^i} \frac{(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_0)^i}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1}(\Gamma_i - \Gamma_j)} (\alpha_1)^i, \text{ and}$$

$$c_i(M) = \frac{1}{\Lambda_i^- t^i} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1}(\Gamma_i - \Gamma_j) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i} \frac{c_i^{S^1}(M)|_{P_k}}{\prod_{j\leq i, j\neq k}(\Gamma_k - \Gamma_j)} \right) \alpha_i$$

$$= \frac{\Lambda_i^+}{\prod_{j=i+1}^{n}(\Gamma_i - \Gamma_j)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i} c_i^{S^1}(M)|_{P_k} \frac{\prod_{j=i+1}^{n}(\Gamma_k - \Gamma_j)}{t^i \Lambda_k} \right) \alpha_i,$$

where $\Lambda_i^-$ is the product of the negative weights at $P_i$, $\Lambda_i^+$ is the product of the positive weights at $P_i$, and $\Gamma_i$ is the sum of the weights at $P_i$. Hence the implications (4) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) and (3) of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 immediately follow from this.

## 4. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the implications (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (4)

The idea of the proof is that we change our set up into the set up of almost complex $S^1$-manifolds admitting quasi-ample complex line bundles. Then Hattori's work [3] will give the implications (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (4) of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Let $M$ be a smooth $S^1$-manifold, it is called an **almost complex $S^1$-manifold** if there exists an $S^1$-invariant almost complex structure on $M$.

Let $M$ be an almost complex $S^1$-manifold, with fixed point set nonempty and consisting of isolated points. A complex line bundle $L$ over $M$ with a compatible $S^1$ action is called **quasi-ample** if

- the restrictions of $L$ at the fixed points are mutually distinct $S^1$-modules, and
- $c_1(L)^n \neq 0$, where $2n = \dim(M)$.

Now let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold of dimension $2n$ with moment map $\phi$. Choose an $S^1$-invariant almost complex structure compatible with $\omega$. Then $M$ is an almost complex $S^1$-manifold. Assume $[\omega]$ is an integral class, then it has an integral equivariant extension $[\omega - \phi t] \in H^2_{S^1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ ([8, Lemma 2.3]). Then $M$ admits an $S^1$-equivariant complex line bundle $L$ whose equivariant first Chern class is $[\omega - \phi t]$, and ordinary first Chern class is $[\omega]$ (see for example [1] Appendix C). Assume that the fixed point set of the Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots$, and $P_n$. Then $S^1$ acts on $L|_{P_i}$ with weight $-\phi(P_i)$. By Lemma 2.3, $\phi(P_i) \neq \phi(P_j)$ if $i \neq j$. Moreover, $c_1(L)^n = [\omega]^n \neq 0$ since $M$ is symplectic. Consequently, the Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ is an almost complex $S^1$-manifold admitting a quasi-ample complex line bundle. Together with Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold of dimension $2n$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$ and $P_n$, and $\omega$ is a primitive integral class. Then $M$ is an almost complex $S^1$-manifold with Euler characteristic $\mathcal{X}(M) = n+1$, and $M$ admits a quasi-ample complex line bundle whose equivariant first Chern class is $[\omega - \phi t]$ (ordinary first Chern class is $[\omega]$) and $c_1(M) = C[\omega]$ for some positive integer $C$.*

**Remark 4.2.** Suppose we are in the case of Proposition 4.1. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $[\omega]$ is a generator, $t$ and $[\omega - \phi t]$ generate $H^2_{S^1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$. If $c_1(M) = C[\omega]$, then we may write the equivariant first Chern class of $M$ as

$$c_1^{S^1}(M) = C[\omega - \phi t] + dt, \text{ for some } d \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.3}$$

If $\{w_{ik}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ is the set of weights of the $S^1$ action on $M$ at $P_i$, then $c_1^{S^1}(M)|_{P_i} = \sum_k w_{ik} t$. Restricting (4.3) to any fixed point $P_i$, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{ik} = C(-\phi(P_i)) + d. \tag{4.4}$$

As we have mentioned, $-\phi(P_i)$ is the weight of the $S^1$ action on the restriction of the quasi-ample complex line bundle to the fixed point $P_i$. Hattori called a condition like (4.4) as condition D, and stated his main theorems using this condition. We note that under our assumptions (as in Proposition 4.1), this condition is a natural consequence of the condition $c_1(M) = C[\omega]$. So we just use the simpler condition $c_1(M) = C[\omega]$ here.

*Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the implications* (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (4).

By Lemma 2.3, by rescaling, we may assume that $[\omega]$ is primitive integral.

For Theorem 1.1, assume we have (1), i.e., $c_1(M) = (n+1)x$, where $x$ is a degree 2 generator. By Lemma 2.1, we may take $x = [\omega]$. Together with Proposition 4.1, $M$ is an almost complex $S^1$-manifold with Euler characteristic $n+1$, and $M$ admits a quasi-ample complex line bundle $L$ with $c_1(L) = [\omega]$ and $c_1(M) = (n+1)[\omega]$. By Hattori's work, [3, Proposition 3.18 and Theorem 5.7] (or [3, Corollarys 3.15 and 5.8]), we have (4).

For Theorem 1.2, assume we have (1), i.e., $c_1(M) = nx$, where $x$ is a degree 2 generator. Similar to the above, using Proposition 4.1, we have that $M$ is an almost complex $S^1$-manifold with Euler characteristic $n+1$, and $M$ admits a quasi-ample complex line bundle $L$ with $c_1(M) = nc_1(L)$. So the manifold $M$ satisfies the conditions of [3, Corollaries 3.15 and 6.2], which allows us to conclude (4). □

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for the implication (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (4)

Our main result of this section is Proposition 5.9, which corresponds to (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (4) of Theorem 1.1.

Let us first introduce the following notation, which we will use in this and the next sections.

**Definition 5.1.** Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. By Lemma 2.3, we may take $a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a_{-1} < \phi(P_0) < a_0 < \phi(P_1) < a_1 < \cdots < \phi(P_{n-1}) < a_{n-1} < \phi(P_n) < a_n.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{M_i} = \{m \in M \mid \phi(m) < a_i\}, \text{ and } \mathbf{M_i'} = \{m \in M \mid \phi(m) > a_i\}.$$

In this and the next sections, we may implicitly use the order of the moment map values of the fixed points as above without refering to Lemma 2.3.

The proof of Proposition 5.9 goes in two steps, first, for each fixed point, we express the product of the negative weights (and the product of the positive weights) as a product in terms of the moment map values of certain fixed points (Lemma 5.2), then, we show that at each fixed point, the weights are exactly the factors on the right hand side of the expression.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral, and for some $i$, $H^*(M_i;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/x^{i+1}$, where $x = [\omega|_{M_i}]$. Then for each $k$ with $0 \le k \le i$, the product of the negative weights at $P_k$ is*

$$\Lambda_k^- = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_k)\big). \tag{5.3}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, the fixed points $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$, and $P_n$ respectively have Morse indices 0, 2, $\cdots$, and $2n$, and the negative disk bundles of $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$, and $P_n$ respectively contribute to $H^0(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $\cdots$, and $H^{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. The manifold $M_i$ contains only $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$, and $P_i$. Proposition 3.1 gives the generators, 1, $\alpha_1$, $\cdots$, and $\alpha_i$, of $H^0(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $\cdots$, and $H^{2i}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We use the same notations $\alpha_i$'s for their restrictions to $M_i$, and use $[\omega]$ for $[\omega|_{M_i}]$. Then by assumption,

$$\alpha_1 = [\omega], \alpha_2 = [\omega]^2, \cdots, \text{ and } \alpha_i = [\omega]^i.$$

Together with Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\alpha_1 = \Lambda_1^- \frac{c_1(M)}{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_0} = \frac{\Lambda_1^-}{\phi(P_0) - \phi(P_1)}[\omega] = [\omega].$$

Hence

$$\Lambda_1^- = \phi(P_0) - \phi(P_1).$$

Similarly, for each $k$ with $0 \le k \le i$, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.1,

$$\alpha_k = \Lambda_k^- \frac{c_1(M)^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}(\Gamma_k - \Gamma_j)}, \text{ and } c_1(M)^k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma_k - \Gamma_j}{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_k)}[\omega]^k.$$

So

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\Lambda_k^-}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_k)\right)}[\omega]^k = [\omega]^k.$$

Hence

$$\Lambda_k^- = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_k)\big).$$

□

Next, we try to get the set of weights at each fixed point.

If we choose an $S^1$-invariant compatible almost complex structure $J$ on $M$, we have an $S^1$-invariant Riemannian metric on $M$. If $X_M$ is the vector field generated by the circle action, then the gradient vector field of the moment map $\phi$ is

$$\text{grad}(\phi) = JX_M.$$

The $S^1$ action and the gradient flow of the moment map together give a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. The closure of a nontrivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-orbit contains two fixed points, and it is a topological sphere, called a **gradient sphere**. A **free gradient**

**sphere** is one whose generic point has stabilizer $1 \subset S^1$, and a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ **gradient sphere** is one whose generic point has stabilizer $\mathbb{Z}_k \subset S^1$ for some $k > 1$.

Let $P_i$ be a fixed point on $M$ with Morse index $2i$. On the negative normal bundle $D^{2i}$ to $P_i$, assume $S^1$ acts as follows:

$$\lambda \cdot (z_0, z_1, \cdots, z_{i-1}) = (\lambda^{w_{i0}^-} z_0, \cdots, \lambda^{w_{i,i-1}^-} z_{i-1}),$$

where $w_{i0}^-, w_{i1}^-, \cdots, w_{i,i-1}^-$ are the negative weights at $P_i$. The closure of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-orbit through $(0, \cdots, 0, z_k, 0, \cdots, 0)$, where $k = 0, \cdots, i-1$, has $P_i$ and another fixed point $P_j$ as poles ($\phi(P_j) < \phi(P_i)$). We call the corresponding gradient sphere a **weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to $P_j$**. Similarly, we can define weight gradient spheres from $P_j$ to $P_i$ using the positive normal bundle to $P_j$. If a weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to $P_j$ is also a weight gradient sphere from $P_j$ to $P_i$, then we say that there is a **weight gradient sphere between $P_i$ and $P_j$**, in which case, $w$ is a weight at $P_i$ if and only if $-w$ is a weight at $P_j$. In particular, a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ gradient sphere is a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ isotropy sphere, so it is a weight gradient sphere between the two poles of the sphere.

From now on, when we speak about the flow of $\pm\text{grad}(\phi)$, we are implicitly assuming that we have chosen an $S^1$-invariant compatible almost complex structure and hence an invariant metric on $M$.

We start with the following observation.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Then each $M_i$ has the same rational cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^i$, and for each fixed point $P_i$, the flow of $-\text{grad}(\phi)$ from the negative disk bundle of $P_i$ does not miss any interior point of the negative disk bundle of $P_{i-1}$. In particular, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to $P_{i-1}$.*

*Proof.* By Morse theory and Lemma 2.3, each $M_i$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex consisting of a unique $2k$-cell, the negative disk bundle $D^{2k}$ of $P_k$, where $0 \le k \le i$, with the attaching maps induced by the flow of $-\text{grad}(\phi)$. So it has the same rational cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^i$:

$$H^*(M_i; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{CP}^i; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/x^{i+1}.$$

If the flow down of $D^{2i}$ misses an interior point of $D^{2i-2}$, then up to homotopy, the $2i$-cell is attached to the $2i-4$-skeleton, then the generator of $H^{2i}(M_i; \mathbb{Q})$ has no relation with the generator of $H^{2i-2}(M_i; \mathbb{Q})$, a contradiction. In particular, the flow of $-\text{grad}(\phi)$ from $D^{2i}$ cannot miss $P_{i-1}$—the center of the $2i-2$-cell, so there must exist a weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to $P_{i-1}$. □

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. If in some $M_{i-1}$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points, then there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to any $P_j$ with $0 \le j \le i-1$.*

*Proof.* By Morse theory, the spaces $M_{i-1}$ and $M_i$ are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. Now we only think about their CW-structures and call them "the CW-complex $M_{i-1}$" and "the CW-complex $M_i$". The CW-complex $M_{i-1}$ has a unique cell in each even dimension 0, 2, $\cdots$, $2i-2$ — the negative disk bundle $D^{2j}$ of $P_j$ with $0 \leq j \leq i-1$, and the CW-complex $M_i$ has a unique cell in each even dimension 0, 2, $\cdots$, $2i$ — the negative disk bundle $D^{2j}$ of $P_j$ with $0 \leq j \leq i$, the attaching maps being induced by the flow of $-\text{grad}(\phi)$. There are $i$ number of weight gradient spheres from $P_i$ to the $i$ number of fixed points below $P_i$. By Lemma 5.4, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to $P_{i-1}$, the center point of the $2i-2$-cell for the CW structure above. Since there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points in $M_{i-1}$, we can change the cell structure of $M_{i-1}$ such that the flow out of $\pm\text{grad}(\phi)$ from any fixed point $P_j$ in $M_{i-1}$ is the $2i-2$-cell for the new cell structure of $M_{i-1}$, so the same idea of Lemma 5.4 implies that there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_i$ to any $P_j$ in $M_{i-1}$. □

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. If in some $M_i$, for any $j$ and $k$ with $0 \leq j \leq j+2 \leq k \leq i$, there is a non-free weight gradient sphere between $P_j$ and $P_k$, then there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points in $M_i$.*

*Proof.* For any $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq i$, by Lemma 5.4, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_k$ to $P_{k-1}$. Using Lemma 5.4 for $-\phi$, we have that there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_{k-1}$ to $P_k$. For any $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq i$, by assumption, there is a weight gradient sphere between $P_k$ and any $P_j$ with $0 \leq j \leq j+2 \leq k$. Now, fix $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq i$. Since the $k$ number of weight gradient spheres from $P_k$ surject to the $k$ number of fixed points below $P_k$, by $S^1$-equivariance of the flow of $-\text{grad}(\phi)$, there cannot be two gradient spheres from $P_k$ to $P_{k-1}$, so the weight gradient sphere from $P_k$ to $P_{k-1}$ and the one from $P_{k-1}$ to $P_k$ must coincide. Hence there is a weight gradient sphere between $P_k$ and any $P_j$ with $0 \leq j < k$. The claim follows by induction on $k$. □

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Assume for some $i$, $M_i$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^i$, i.e., $H^*(M_i;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/x^{i+1}$, where $x = [\omega]$. Then in $M_i$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points, and for each $0 \leq k \leq i$, the set of negative weights at $P_k$ is*

$$\{w_{kj}^-\} = \{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_k)\}_{j<k}. \tag{5.8}$$

*Proof.* Note that by Lemma 2.2, $[\omega]$ is integral implies $\phi(P_i) - \phi(P_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i, j$.

First of all, (5.8) is trivial for $P_0$. Consider $M_1$, which contains $P_1$ and $P_0$ as fixed points. By Lemma 5.4, there is a weight gradient sphere from

$P_1$ to $P_0$; using Lemma 5.4 for $-\phi$, we know that there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_0$ to $P_1$. Since $P_1$ has index 2, the weight gradient sphere from $P_1$ to $P_0$ and the one from $P_0$ to $P_1$ coincide. Moreover, (5.8) for $P_1$ is just (5.3) for $P_1$.

Now assume that for some $k$ with $1 \leq k < i$, in $M_k$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points, and (5.8) holds for all fixed points $P_j$ with $j \leq k$. By Lemmas 5.5 and 2.2, for each $0 \leq j \leq k$, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_{k+1}$ to $P_j$, and there is a corresponding negative weight $w^-_{k+1,j}$ at $P_{k+1}$ such that $w^-_{k+1,j} \,|\big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_{k+1})\big)$. Then (5.8) for $P_{k+1}$ follows from this and from (5.3) for $P_{k+1}$. Note that the weight gradient spheres from $P_{k+1}$ to $P_j$ with $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ are all non-free. Together with the assumptions on $M_k$, by Lemma 5.6, we have that in $M_{k+1}$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points. The claim follows by induction. □

Let the $M_i$ in Lemma 5.7 be $M_n$, then we have the following main conclusion of this section.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Assume $M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^n$, i.e., $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/x^{n+1}$, where $x = [\omega]$. Then the set of weights of the action at each fixed point $P_i$ is*

$$\{w_{ij}\} = \{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)\}_{j \neq i}.$$

*That is, the sets of weights coincide with those of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with the standard circle action as in Example 1.4.*

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.2 for the implication (2) ⟹ (1) and (4)

Our main result of this section is Proposition 6.17, which corresponds to (2) ⟹ (1) and (4) of Theorem 1.2.

First, we look at the integral cohomology rings of the manifolds $M_i$'s, and express the product of the negative weights at each fixed point in terms of the moment map values of the fixed points in $M_i$.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Assume $M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with $n \geq 3$ odd, i.e.,*

$$H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(x,y)/\big(x^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} - 2y, y^2\big),$$

*where $\deg(x) = 2$, and $\deg(y) = n+1$. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, the integral cohomology groups of the manifold $M_i$ are generated by $1$, $x$, $\cdots$, and $x^i$ (i.e., $M_i$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\mathbb{CP}^i$), and for each $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq i \leq n$, the integral cohomology groups of $M_i$ are generated by $1$, $x$, $\cdots$, $x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $\frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $\cdots$, and $\frac{1}{2}x^i$.*

*If moreover $[\omega]$ is primitive integral, and $x = [\omega]$, then at each fixed point $P_i$, the product of the negative weights is*

$$\Lambda_i^- = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)), & \text{when } 0 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)), & \text{when } \frac{n+1}{2} \le i \le n. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, for the Morse function $\phi$, the fixed points $P_0$, $P_0$, $\cdots$, and $P_n$ respectively have indices 0, 2, $\cdots$, and $2n$, and their negative disk bundles respectively contribute to $H^0(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $\cdots$, and $H^{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Proposition 3.1 gives the generators of these groups, 1, $\alpha_1$, $\cdots$, and $\alpha_n$. The assumption on the cohomology ring of $M$ implies that

$$\alpha_1 = x, \cdots, \alpha_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \alpha_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, \cdots, \text{ and } \alpha_n = \frac{1}{2} x^n.$$

The manifold $M_i$ only contains the fixed points $P_0$, $P_1$, $\cdots$, and $P_i$. The claim on the generators of the cohomology groups of $M_i$ follows from these facts.

Now assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral and $x = [\omega]$. Then

$$\alpha_i = \begin{cases} [\omega]^i, & \text{when } 0 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2}[\omega]^i, & \text{when } \frac{n+1}{2} \le i \le n. \end{cases}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2, using the expression of $\alpha_i$ in Proposition 3.1, the expression of $c_1$ in Lemma 2.1, we can get $\Lambda_i^-$ as claimed. □

Using $-\phi$ as a perfect Morse function, we can similarly prove the following claims.

**Remark 6.3.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 6.1, we have a similar corresponding statement for the integral cohomology ring of each manifold $M_i'$, and if $[\omega] = x$, then the product of the positive weights at each fixed point $P_i$ is

$$\Lambda_i^+ = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)), & \text{when } 0 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)), & \text{when } \frac{n+1}{2} \le i \le n. \end{cases}$$

For the following corollary, the claims for $M_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and for $0 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}$ follow from Lemmas 6.1 and 5.7, and the claims for $M'_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and for $\frac{n+1}{2} \le i \le n$ follow by symmetry (or by using $-\phi$ and the same arguments).

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Assume $M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with $n \ge 3$ odd and $[\omega] \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ being the degree 2 generator. Then in $M_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points, and for any $0 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}$, the set of negative weights at $P_i$ is*

$$\{w_{ij}^-\} = \{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)\}_{j<i}. \tag{6.5}$$

*Similarly, in $M'_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points, and for any $\frac{n+1}{2} \le i \le n$, the set of positive weights at $P_i$ is*

$$\{w_{ij}^+\} = \{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)\}_{j>i}. \tag{6.6}$$

Next, we obtain the set of negative weights at $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, and symmetrically, the set of positive weights at $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of $n+1$ isolated points, $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_n$. Assume $M$ has the same integral cohomology ring as $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with $n \ge 3$ odd and $[\omega] \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ being the degree 2 generator. Then there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ to $P_j$ for any $0 \le j \le \frac{n-1}{2}$, and the set of negative weights at $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ is*

$$\big\{w^-_{\frac{n+1}{2}j}\big\} = \Big\{\phi(P_j) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big)\Big\}_{j<\frac{n+1}{2}, j\neq(\frac{n+1}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big)\right)\right\}, \tag{6.8}$$

*where $(\frac{n+1}{2})' \in \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$. Similarly, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ to $P_j$ for any $\frac{n+1}{2} \le j \le n$, and the set of positive weights at $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is*

$$\big\{w^+_{\frac{n-1}{2}j}\big\} = \Big\{\phi(P_j) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\big)\Big\}_{j>\frac{n-1}{2}, j\neq(\frac{n-1}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n-1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\big)\right)\right\}, \tag{6.9}$$

*where $(\frac{n-1}{2})' \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \cdots, n\}$.*

*Proof.* First, by Lemma 2.2, $[\omega]$ is integral implies $\phi(P_i) - \phi(P_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i, j$.

By Corollary 6.4, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points in $M_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. By Lemma 5.5, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ to each $P_j$ with $0 \le j \le \frac{n-1}{2}$. So by Lemma 2.2, there is a corresponding negative weight $w^-_{\frac{n+1}{2}j}$ at $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ such that $w^-_{\frac{n+1}{2}j} | \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}})\big)$ for each $0 \le j \le \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then (6.8) follows from this and (6.2) of Lemma 6.1.

Similarly, using $-\phi$, we obtain the claims for $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. □

Next, we need to get the $(\frac{n+1}{2})'$ and $(\frac{n-1}{2})'$ in Lemma 6.7. First, we have the following consequence of the results in [13] for dimension 6.

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let the circle act on a compact 6-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\omega)$ with moment map $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume the fixed point set consists of 4 isolated points, $P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3$, and $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. If the product of the negative weights at $P_2$ is*

$$\Lambda_2^- = \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(P_0) - \phi(P_2)\big)\big(\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_2)\big),$$

*Then $c_1(M) = 3[\omega]$.*

*Proof.* Under our assumptions, by [13, Theorem 1], the integral cohomology ring of $M$ can only have 4 cases. Let $x = [\omega] \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ be a generator, then the $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ generator and $\Lambda_2^-$ are in the following 4 cases, where for $\Lambda_2^-$, we got the first two cases respectively in Lemmas 5.2 and 6.1, and we can get the last two cases similarly.

(1) $x^2 \in H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, and $\Lambda_2^- = \prod_{j=0}^1 \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_2)\big)$.
(2) $\frac{1}{2}x^2 \in H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, and $\Lambda_2^- = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=0}^1 \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_2)\big)$.
(3) $\frac{1}{5}x^2 \in H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, and $\Lambda_2^- = \frac{1}{5}\prod_{j=0}^1 \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_2)\big)$.
(4) $\frac{1}{22}x^2 \in H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, and $\Lambda_2^- = \frac{1}{22}\prod_{j=0}^1 \big(\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_2)\big)$.

Hence we are in case (2). By [13, Theorem 1], (2) corresponds to $c_1(M) = 3x = 3[\omega]$. □

Now we use Lemma 6.10 to prove the following claim.

**Lemma 6.11.** *In Lemma 6.7,* $(\frac{n+1}{2})' \neq \frac{n-3}{2}$ *and* $(\frac{n-1}{2})' \neq \frac{n+3}{2}$.

*Proof.* Assume $(\frac{n+1}{2})' = \frac{n-3}{2}$, then by symmetry, $(\frac{n-1}{2})' = \frac{n+3}{2}$. We rename the 4 fixed points in $M$ as $P_0' = P_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$, $P_1' = P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $P_2' = P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and $P_3' = P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$. By Lemma 5.4, the flow down of the negative disk bundle $D_3^-$ of $P_3'$ cannot miss any interior point of the $n+1$-cell, the negative disk bundle of $P_2'$. By Lemma 6.7, the flow down of the negative disk bundle of $P_2'$ contains $P_0'$ and $P_1'$. By continuity of the flow, the flow down of $D_3^-$ contains $P_i'$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Similarly, the flow up of the positive disk bundle $D_0^+$ of $P_0'$ also contains these 4 fixed points. Let $M'$ be the intersection of the flow down of $D_3^-$ and the flow up of $D_0^+$. By Lemma 6.7, Corollary 6.4, and the above assumption, in $M'$, the sets of weights at $P_2'$ and $P_1'$ are respectively

$$\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(P_0') - \phi(P_2')\big), \phi(P_1') - \phi(P_2'), \phi(P_3') - \phi(P_2')\Big\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Big\{\phi(P_0') - \phi(P_1'), \phi(P_2') - \phi(P_1'), \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(P_3') - \phi(P_1')\big)\Big\}.$$

So $M'$ is a compact 6-dimensional Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold containing 4 fixed points $P_0'$, $P_1'$, $P_2'$, and $P_3'$. In $M'$, the product of the negative weights at $P_2'$ is

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(P_1') - \phi(P_2')\big)\big(\phi(P_0') - \phi(P_2')\big).$$

Since the Morse indices of the fixed points in $M$ above $P_3'$ are bigger than 2, $[\omega]$ is primitive integral on the flow down of $D_3^-$. By the same argument and by using $-\phi$ on the flow down of $D_3^-$, we see that $[\omega]$ is primitive integral on $M'$. Then by Lemma 6.10,

$$c_1(M') = 3[\omega].$$

But using Lemma 2.1 and the data at $P_2'$ and $P_1'$, we get

$$c_1(M') = \left(3 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi(P_3') - \phi(P_0')}{\phi(P_2') - \phi(P_1')}\right)[\omega] \neq 3[\omega].$$

□

**Lemma 6.12.** *In Lemma 6.7,* $(\frac{n+1}{2})' \neq 0, 1, \cdots, \frac{n-5}{2}$ *and* $(\frac{n-1}{2})' \neq n, n-1, \cdots, \frac{n+5}{2}$.

*Proof.* The claim only makes sense for $n > 3$.

By Lemma 6.7 and Corollary 6.4, the sets of weights at $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ are respectively

(6.13)
$$\left\{w_{\frac{n+1}{2}j}\right\} = \left\{\phi(P_j) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big)\right\}_{j\neq\frac{n+1}{2},(\frac{n+1}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big)\right)\right\},$$

where $(\frac{n+1}{2})' \in \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$, and

(6.14)
$$\left\{w_{\frac{n-1}{2}j}\right\} = \left\{\phi(P_j) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\big)\right\}_{j\neq\frac{n-1}{2},(\frac{n-1}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n-1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\big)\right)\right\},$$

where $(\frac{n-1}{2})' \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \cdots, n-1, n\}$. Using Lemma 2.1 and the data at the fixed points $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$c_1(M) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n-1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+1}{2})'}\big)}{\phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\big)}\right)[\omega]. \tag{6.15}$$

Assume $(\frac{n+1}{2})' = i$ with $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n-5}{2}$, and by symmetry, $(\frac{n-1}{2})' = n - i$. Recall that $\phi(P_i) - \phi(P_j)$ are integers for all $i, j$, by Lemma 2.3, $|\frac{1}{2}\big(\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big)\big)| > 1$, so the gradient spheres from $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ to $P_j$ with $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$ are all non-free. By Lemma 5.6 and Corollary 6.4, we have that in $M_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, there is a weight gradient sphere between any two fixed points. By Lemmas 5.5 and 2.2, for any $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$, there is a weight gradient sphere from $P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ to $P_j$ , and there is a corresponding negative weight $w^-_{\frac{n+3}{2}j}$ at $P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ such that $w^-_{\frac{n+3}{2}j}|\big(\phi(P_j) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\big)\big)$. Together with (6.2) in Lemma 6.1, we get the set of negative weights at $P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$:

$$\{w^-_{\frac{n+3}{2}j}\} = \left\{\phi(P_j) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\big)\right\}_{j<\frac{n+3}{2},j\neq(\frac{n+3}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+3}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\big)\right)\right\}.$$

By Corollary 6.4, $\phi\big(P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\big)$ is a negative weight at $\phi\big(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\big)$, so $\big(\frac{n+3}{2}\big)' \in \{0, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$; together with Corollary 6.4, the set of weights at $P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ is

$$\{w_{\frac{n+3}{2}j}\} = \left\{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}})\right\}_{j\neq\frac{n+3}{2},(\frac{n+3}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi(P_{(\frac{n+3}{2})'}) - \phi(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}})\right)\right\}.$$

Similarly using $-\phi$, we get that the set of weights at $P_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ is

$$\{w_{\frac{n-3}{2}j}\} = \left\{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_{\frac{n-3}{2}})\right\}_{j\neq\frac{n-3}{2},(\frac{n-3}{2})'} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi(P_{(\frac{n-3}{2})'}) - \phi(P_{\frac{n-3}{2}})\right)\right\},$$

where $(\frac{n-3}{2})' \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \cdots, n\}$. Using Lemma 2.1 and the data at the fixed points $P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ and $P_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$, we obtain

$$c_1(M) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n-3}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+3}{2})'}\big)}{\phi\big(P_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\big) - \phi\big(P_{\frac{n-3}{2}}\big)}\right)[\omega]. \tag{6.16}$$

Comparing (6.15) and (6.16), we have

$$\phi\big(P_{(\frac{n-3}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+3}{2})'}\big) > \phi\big(P_{(\frac{n-1}{2})'}\big) - \phi\big(P_{(\frac{n+1}{2})'}\big).$$

So $(\frac{n+3}{2})' < (\frac{n+1}{2})'$. Then repeat the above arguments, we obtain inductively the sets of weights at $P_{\frac{n+5}{2}}$, $\cdots$, and $P_n$, and we have $n' < \cdots < (\frac{n+3}{2})' < (\frac{n+1}{2})'$, which is impossible if $(\frac{n+1}{2})' = i$ with $0 \le i \le \frac{n-5}{2}$. □

Finally, we reach the main conclusion of this section.

**Proposition 6.17.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 6.7, we have*

$$c_1(M) = n[\omega].$$

*Moreover, the set of weights of the action at each fixed point $P_i$ is*

$$\{w_{ij}\} = \{\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)\}_{j\neq i,n-i} \cup \big\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi(P_{n-i}) - \phi(P_i)\right)\big\}.$$

*That is, the sets of weights coincide with those of $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ with the standard circle action as in Example 1.5.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.11 and 6.12, in Lemma 6.7, $(\frac{n+1}{2})' = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $(\frac{n-1}{2})' = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Then the claim on $c_1(M)$ follows from (6.15). Moreover, (6.13) and (6.14) give the sets of weights at $P_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and $P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

Once we have $(\frac{n+1}{2})' = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $(\frac{n-1}{2})' = \frac{n+1}{2}$, following the method of proof of Lemma 6.12, inductively, we can get that for each $i$ with $\frac{n+3}{2} \le i \le n$, $i' = n - i$, and $(n-i)' = i$, morover, we can get the sets of weights at each $P_i$ and $P_{n-i}$ as claimed. □

**Remark 6.18.** Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact 6-dimensional Hamiltonian $S^1$-manifold with 4 isolated fixed points, $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$ and $P_3$. In [13, Theorems 1 and 2], besides the two cases corresponding to the integral cohomology rings of $\mathbb{CP}^3$ and $\widetilde{G}_2\left(\mathbb{R}^5\right)$, Tolman also obtained the following two cases (which exist by [10]):

(1) $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(x^2 - 5y, y^2)$. The weights at $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$ are respectively $(1,2,3)$, $(-1,1,4)$, $(-1,-4,1)$ and $(-1,-2,-3)$.
(2) $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(x^2 - 22y, y^2)$. The weights at $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$ are respectively $(1,2,3)$, $(-1,1,5)$, $(-1,-5,1)$ and $(-1,-2,-3)$.

In both cases, $\deg(x) = 2$ and $\deg(y) = 4$. As an example, consider (1). Assume we know the ring structure as in (1). Using our method, we can get that there is a weight gradient sphere between $P_0$ and $P_1$, $1 = \phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0)$ is a positive weight at $P_0$ and $-1 = \phi(P_0) - \phi(P_1)$ is a negative weight at $P_1$. If the negative weights at $P_2$ are $-4 = \phi(P_1) - \phi(P_2)$ and $-1 =$

$\frac{1}{5}\big(\phi(P_0)-\phi(P_2)\big)$, then $4=\phi(P_2)-\phi(P_1)$ is a positive weight at $P_1$, and there is a weight gradient sphere between $P_1$ and $P_2$. But by our method, we do not know if $1=\frac{1}{5}\big(\phi(P_2)-\phi(P_0)\big)$ is a positive weight at $P_0$, so we do not have that there is a weight gradient sphere between $P_0$ and $P_2$, hence we cannot use Lemma 5.5 for $P_3$. By the result in (1), $1=\frac{1}{5}\big(\phi(P_2)-\phi(P_0)\big)$ is not a positive weight at $P_0$ and there is no weight gradient sphere between $P_0$ and $P_2$. There are two weight gradient spheres from $P_3$ to $P_0$.

## 7. Proof of Theorem 1.3

With the results of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we can prove Theorem 1.3 as follows.

Using a theorem by Kobayashi and Ochiai [4], and by incorporating the circle action, we proved the following proposition in [6].

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let $(M,\omega,J)$ be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n$, which admits a holomorphic Hamiltonian circle action. Assume that $[\omega]$ is an integral class. If $c_1(M)=(n+1)[\omega]$, then $M$ is $S^1$-equivariantly biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^n=\mathbb{P}\big(H^0(M;L)\big)$, and if $c_1(M)=n[\omega]$, then $M$ is $S^1$-equivariantly biholomorphic to a quadratic hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}=\mathbb{P}\big(H^0(M;L)\big)$, where $L$ is a holomorphic line bundle over $M$ with first Chern class $[\omega]$ and $H^0(M;L)$ is its space of holomorphic sections.*

Since we have $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$, by rescaling, we may assume that $[\omega]$ is a primitive integral class. Then by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, when one of the 3 conditions is satisfied, the condition of Proposition 7.1 is satisfied. So we have the claimed equivariant biholomorphism:

$$f\colon (M,\omega,J)\to(M',\omega',J'),$$

where $(M',\omega',J')$ stands for the Kähler manifold $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with $n\geq 1$ or $\widetilde{G}_2\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}\right)$ with $n\geq 3$ odd, with the standard structures and the standard $S^1$ action. By rescaling $\omega'$, we may assume that $\omega$ and $f^*\omega'$ represent the same cohomology class. We consider the family of forms $\omega_t=(1-t)\omega+tf^*\omega'$ on $M$, where $t\in[0,1]$. Each $\omega_t$ is nondegenerate: for any point $x\in M$, suppose $X\in T_xM$ is such that $\omega_t(X,Y)=0$ for all $Y\in T_xM$. In particular, if $Y=JX$, then $\omega_t(X,JX)=0$. Using the facts $\omega(X,JX)\geq 0$, $f_*(JX)=J'f_*X$, and $\omega'(f_*X,J'f_*X)\geq 0$, we get $X=0$. So $\omega_t$ is a family of symplectic forms in the same cohomology class. Then by Moser's method, we obtain an equivariant symplectomorphism between $M$ and $M'$.

## References

[1] V. Ginzburg, V. Guillemin and Y. Karshon, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, American Mathematical Society Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **98**, 2002.

[2] L. Godinho and S. Sabatini, *New tools for classifying Hamiltonian circle actions with isolated fixed points*, Foundations in Computational Mathematics, 14(2014), 791-860.

[3] A. Hattori, $S^1$ *actions on unitary manifolds and quasi-ample line bundles*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math., **31**, no. 3 (1984), 433-486.
[4] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Charaterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13-1** (1973), 31-47.
[5] H. Li, $\pi_1$ *of Hamiltonian* $S^1$*-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 11, 3579-3582.
[6] H. Li, *Certain circle actions on Kähler manifolds*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 2014, No. 18, 5187-5202.
[7] H. Li, M. Olbermann and D. Stanley, *One connectivity and finiteness of Hamiltonian* $S^1$*-manifolds with minimal fixed sets*, arXiv 1010.2505.
[8] H. Li and S. Tolman, *Hamiltonian circle actions with minimal fixed sets*, International Journal of Mathematics **23**, no. 8 (2012), 1250071-36.
[9] D. McDuff, *The moment map for circle actions on symplectic manifolds*, J. of Geometry and Physics **5**, no. 2 (1988), 149-160.
[10] D. McDuff, *Some* 6*-dimensional Hamiltonian* $S^1$ *manifolds*, J. of Topology, **2** (2009), no. 3, 589–623.
[11] A. Pelayo and S. Tolman, *Fixed points of symplectic periodic flows*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, DOI: 10.1017/S0143385710000295.
[12] T. Petrie, *Smooth* $S^1$ *actions on homotopy complex projective spaces and related topics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972) 105-153.
[13] S. Tolman, *On a symplectic generalization of Petrie's conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **362** (2010), 3963-3996.
[14] S. Tolman and J. Weitsman, *On semifree Hamiltonian circle actions with isolated fixed points*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 2, 299-309.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Box 173, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215006, China
*E-mail address*: hui.li@suda.edu.cn